## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

ZACHARY LOUIS EMILE WATTERSON,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) No. 1:20-cv-01943-TWP-DML
INDIANA DEPT OF CORRECTIONS, J. JONES Chaplain, LOTZ Chaplain, STANLEY KNIGHT, I. RANDOLPH,	) ) ) ) ) )
Defendants	)

## Order Denying Motion to Request Eleventh Amendment Immunity Waiver and Granting Motion to Amend Complaint

Plaintiff Zachary Watterson filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that the defendants have violated his constitutional rights. His complaint was screened, and First and Fourteenth Amendment claims were permitted to proceed against Chaplain Lotz and Chaplain Jones in their individual capacities, and claims for injunctive relief were permitted to proceed against the Indiana Department of Correction ("IDOC") and Warden Knight under the First Amendment and RLUIPA. Dkt. 16. Mr. Watterson has filed two motions which the Court now addresses.

## I. Eleventh Amendment Immunity

Mr. Watterson's motion to request Eleventh Amendment immunity waiver, dkt. [19], is **denied**. As explained in the screening order, any claim for damages against the IDOC is barred under the Eleventh Amendment. *Joseph v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Wisconsin System*, 432 F.3d 746, 748 (7th Cir. 2005); *Nuñez v. Indiana Dep't of Child Servs.*, 817 F.3d 1042, 1044 (7th Cir. 2016). As the Seventh Circuit has explained, "Congress did not abrogate the states' sovereign

immunity from suit under section 1983, as it could have done." Thomas v. Illinois, 697 F.3d 612,

613 (7th Cir. 2012). The Seventh Circuit has also found that damages are unavailable under

RLUIPA because the statute does not unambiguously waive immunity. Nelson v. Miller, 570 F.3d

868, 889 (7th Cir. 2009), abrogated on other grounds by Jones v. Carter, 915 F.3d 1147 (7th Cir.

2019).

II. **Amend Complaint** 

Mr. Watterson's motion to amend his complaint, dkt. [20], in which he seeks to add claims

against a new defendant David Liebel, is granted to the extent that Mr. Watterson has through

**January 14, 2021**, to file an amended complaint. Mr. Watterson's motion only includes facts about

Mr. Liebel, not the other defendants. But an amended complaint completely replaces the original.

Beal v. Beller, 847 F.3d 897, 901 (7th Cir. 2017) ("For pleading purposes, once an amended

complaint is filed, the original complaint drops out of the picture."). Therefore, it must set out

every defendant, claim, and factual allegation that Mr. Watterson wishes to pursue in this action.

Any amended complaint should have the proper case number, 1:20-cv-01943-TWP-DML,

and the words "Amended Complaint" on the first page. The amended complaint will be screened

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). If no amended complaint is filed, Mr. Watterson's original

complaint, dkt. [1], will remain the operative complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 12/15/2020

United States District Court

Southern District of Indiana

## Distribution:

ZACHARY LOUIS EMILE WATTERSON 227246 EDINBURGH - CF EDINBURGH CORRECTIONAL FACILITY Inmate Mail/Parcels P.O. Box 470 Edinburgh, IN 46124